European Commission United Nations Development Programme International IDEA

Francesco TORCOLI Election Specialist AIDCO EC

> Joint Training on Effective Electoral Assistance *Maputo – 23-28 June 2008* EC Project Approach, Sector Approach, Budget Support, Basket Funding









IMPLEMENTATION VIA COMMON Basket FUNDING

A Pool fund is a fund that receives contributions from different external agencies, and in certain cases from Governments, to finance a set of budget lines or activities agreed as eligible in support to a sector programme.

Pool funds may be distinguished in terms of three criteria:

- 1. Whether they are managed by government or by donors.
- 2. Whether the pooled funds finance the whole sector programme or, as is more common, are earmarked to specific items of expenditure, or to specified sets of activities .
- 3. Whether the accounting and reporting procedures are modelled on government accounting systems, on the accounting systems of a particular donor or international organisation, or custom-designed for the particular sector programme



 In cases where it is politically important for the EC to intervene alone and where there is enough time and resources, at all phases of the electoral cycle,

Case Studies Rationale

The four case studies presented have been drafted following the finding of four specific missions carried out in the context of the EC Methodological Guide in four selected partner countries. The countries represents the different delivery methods:

- EC contractual and financial procedures targeting an electoral event and the building of an electoral administration,
- A UNDP managed trust fund prioritizing areas of support,
- EC contractual and financial procedures but in a largest context of a Democratization Programme
- □ An increased of an existing General budget support.



Case study West Bank & Gaza Strip

EC support to the electoral process

- Leadership steering political dialogue and coordination (E-RSG)
- Assistance to CEC: institutional strengthening
- Support to electoral operations (presidential & legislative)
- Support to CSOs for local/general elections (via UNDP)

TOTAL = Eur 15.36m

- Observation: 2 EUEOM
- EU bilateral aid and observation



Case study West Bank & Gaza Strip

Financial assistance to the CEC (total = Eur 13.3m)

- Early and meticulous project design
- Related to coordinated policy steering group (ERSG)
- Disbursements via MoF joint project coordination with other donors
- **Supported with TA, incl admin/finance/coordination**
- **Trigger policy (legal reform)**
- **2** tranches: voters registration; pre/polling
- Forward looking, flexible but cautious approach: waived trigger for urgency, ex-post/ante audit, additional contributions (1.4m + 1.9m)

Case study - West Bank & Gaza Strip

Technical Assistance:

- 5 experts: senior policy/legal, operations, admin/finance, logistics, procurement.
- Arrived March 2004 early enough
- □ Bureaucracy: 5 contracts with 3 contractors Eur 1.76m

Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) – via UNDP:

- □ Alternative to direct support to HCLE (local) Eur 300k
- □ Info/awareness raising, gender, training, legal professionals (training judges and lawyers on electoral complaints and procedures)

E-RSG (EU/EC + US):

- **Task Force on Palestinian Reform TFPR, 7 RSGs, linked to Quartet**
- Crucial to steer preparatory work and put coordinated political pressure (decree establishing CEC + registration + legal reform)

EUEOMs:

Presidential + PLC: large core team + 250 obs.



Case study - West Bank & Gaza Strip

Concluding comments

- □ Crucial role of EC : enabled the CEC to put in place essential elements for the conduct of credible and genuine elections at short notice
- □ Assistance and EUEOM proved complementary
- □ Active donor policy dialogue / aid coordination
- **CEC capacity allowed for peaceful major political transition in most unstable context**
- **Future: Ensure CEC permanence (institution building and political tool)**
- **Question: "Support to the EMB proved the best vehicle to promote sustainability and good governance"**?! International Community's interest in the electoral process <u>versus</u> political results, in such a highly political environment with strong IC involvement

Indonesia: Prioritizing Areas of Support via Pool Funding



Landmark elections to consolidate democratic transition after constitutional reform and significant changes in the electoral system

Priorities for democratic development identified in the EC Country Strategy Paper 2001/2006

Deployment of external experts in a Identification & Formulation Mission based on 1999 EU EOM recommendations

Targeted Electoral TA to EMBs and CSOs

Indonesia: Identifying and Channeling the EC priorities



UNPD indicated flexibility to accommodate EC specific needs. Without that the UNDP the EC could not have intervened so late in the process

Joint definition of activities to be funded in in Five areas identified:

- capacity building and training of poll workers,
- □ voter and civic education,
- legal assistance to the election supervisory body,
- support of domestic monitoring organizations and
- Evaluation of the EC component in the project





- UNDP pre-financed activities to facilitate EC intervention.
- The retroactivity clause was applied
- Extra coordination efforts given that the EC had pre-selected the beneficiaries of the CSO support

Indonesia: Conclusions



Sound approach in identifying the priorities and formulating the project.

□ Earlier deployment of formulation and identification mission would have been desirable, possibly a joint EC UNDP one.

□ TA to KPU to was crucial to ensure the implementation of activities where less attention was paid by the EMB

Pre-selection of EC beneficiaries in the CSOs was counterproductive

□ The selection of CSOs should be made on a completive bid even though the political considerations that led select the VICI consortium were understood.

Madagascar: Inaugurating Cyclical Electoral Assistance



- Electoral Support envisaged as a Strategy in the aftermath of the Presidential Elections crisis of 2001
 - First experimental form of continuous support to the electoral institutions
 - Long-term assistance identified by an expert team during the short-term electoral support of for the Legislative Elections of 2002

Developing the notion of Cyclical Assistance

0000 .

The EC sponsored a post-election seminar organized immediately after the 2002 Legislative Elections

Seminar served as springboard to formulate the new cycle of assistance, also on the basis of the recommendation of the EU EOM

Two sets of two year assistance programs to arrive to the 2006 Elections

Madagascar: The first two year program



Support to the MIRA for the distribution of ID cards and voters cards

Empowerment of the domestic EMB, training of national and provincial staff and establishment of national Secretariat

Production of results sheets for the 2003 Communal Elections



Madagascar: the second two year program



Continued support to the EMB institutional strengthening

Support to in-house development of a digital voter register database

Support to CSOs for domestic monitoring



Conclusions

Linking various types of electoral projects as parallel facets of democratic development

- Direct connection of the assistance program with the governance development objectives set forth in Cotonou
- Early intervention allowed the EU to choose strategic objectives
- Evolution of the local EC from a supervisory body into a fully fledged EMB. Move from the governmental model to the mixed EMB model



Mozambique: Experimenting Budget Support in Electoral Assistance

- EC Support provided in 1994, 1998-1999 both via UNDP Trust Fund and with a project implemented via EC contractual and financial procedures
- Country Strategy Paper Mozambique 2001-2005 did not include electoral assistance
- Late Request of NAO and EMBs
- Budget Support chosen as the only expeditious way to provide electoral assistance



EC Involvement in 2003-2004 process

- MoU signed between UNDP (representing a group of donors), EC and the Government of Mozambique
- Action Plan indicating activities, cost estimates, sources of verification, Donor Working Group, implementation report, budget expenditure and evaluation mechanisms
- Cost of Elections 21 MEURO, EC contribution 16,4 MEURO

Evaluating Budget Support

- Political Capital to be spent on the present ongoing electoral reform discussion
- Linkages with EUEOM
- Country more accountable to Donors, to its own parliament for its entire budget
- Less intrusive and Government performance judges on the overall objectives
- □ It served well the purpose in Mozambique
- For the upcoming, Municipal and Legislative/Presidential Elections in 2009/2011 other forms of assistance are being considered
- Synergies between Civil and Voter Registration
- **EU Advisor responsible to the Troika**