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NASCENT AND FRAGILE DEMOCRACY

- Of 47 years of independence – Nigeria remained under Military rule for over 30 years except for the periods 1960-66, 1979-93 and 1999 onwards.

- 2007 General Elections only third since civilian transition in 1999.

- **CHALLENGE**: TO HOLD CREDIBLE ELECTIONS.
2003 GENERAL ELECTIONS


Pointed, *inter alia*, to

- Delayed funding from the Government of Nigeria
- Insufficient political will to follow a proper timetable.
- Inadequate legal framework.
- Flawed voters’ registration.
- Absence of institutionalized arrangement to channel International support.
POST 2003 GENERAL ELECTIONS

- **Nov. 2003** - INEC-CSO forum discussed Agenda for Electoral Reforms.
- **July 2005** - EU, DFID, CIDA and UNDP agreed to contribute to Joint Donor Basket Fund (JDBF).
- **Nov. 2005** - INEC submitted revised statement of needs.
POST 2003 GENERAL ELECTIONS

- May 2006 - JDBF Project to support 2007 Nigerian Elections was signed after protracted negotiations with limited activities but with electoral cycle approach in mind and intention to stay engaged.

- June 2006 - Project started.
SUPPORT FOR 2007 GENERAL ELECTIONS

Two pronged strategy:

- Strengthen INEC’s institutional development and technical ability to conduct elections.

- Enhance civil society engagement.
SUPPORT FOR 2007 GENERAL ELECTIONS

• JDBF support amounted to only approx. 5% of the total election budget (of around USD 435 ml).

• Donors had limited leverage to influence decisions.

• National Ownership challenge – ‘we know it all syndrome on one hand and Paris Declaration principles on the other’.
SUPPORT FOR 2007 GENERAL ELECTIONS

• INEC ‘NOT KEEN’ on technical and advisory support and could ‘VETO’ selection of experts.

• INEC was reluctant to share full information.

• Ambiguity in the areas of support became issue between the Donors and INEC.

• UNDP walked a tight rope – being the HONEST BROKER.
2007 GENERAL ELECTIONS

- Overall assessment: 2007 Elections also flawed.

Minuses:

- Flawed voters’ registration.
- Lack of will on the part of EMB to improve technical and operational capacity.
- Perceived influence of party in power over EMB.
- Inadequacies in Legal framework.
- Delay in programming International support.
- International support too focussed towards elections.
2007 GENERAL ELECTIONS

PLUSES:

- Schedule for general elections followed.
- Modern technology adopted for voters’ registration.
- New voters’ I-cards facility set up.
- Effort made for electronic transmission of results.
- Media and Judiciary played more constructive roles.
- Civil Society Organisations became more vocal.
- Less violent when compared to 2003.
2007 GENERAL ELECTIONS

- **DEMOCRACY SURVIVED** – first transition of civilian power.

- Development Partners still have ‘foot in the door’.
2007 DONOR SUPPORT – KEY ISSUES

- Donor support on the eve of elections.
- Support too focussed towards elections.
- EMB not enthusiastic to receive support in core areas.
- Support areas and activities not clearly defined.

- How to maximize leverage in a financially independent state.
DONOR COORDINATION - KEY ISSUES

- Micro - management of project.
- Trust in Implementing Partner.

Visibility
- External visibility to public.
- INFLUENCE WITH THE GOVERNMENT.
- Share in the Basket vs visibility proportion.
2007 DONOR SUPPORT – KEY VALUE

- Common and strong voice.
- Synergy.
- Cost effectiveness - reduction in transaction and operational costs.
WHAT COULD HAVE BEEN BETTER

- International support provided immediately after 2003 Elections for the next Electoral Cycle.

- Donor support aimed at strengthening wider democratic and electoral regime.
WHAT COULD HAVE BEEN BETTER

- Clear understanding ON SUPPORT with willing EMB.

- Donors not micro-managing the project and showing trust in the Implementing Partner.
# COMPARISON OF POST GENERAL ELECTION 2003 AND 2007 EVENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Year after 2003 Elections</th>
<th>1st Year after 2007 Elections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2(^{nd}) Year after 2003 Elections</td>
<td>2(^{nd}) Year after 2007 Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **April 05** - Development Partner discuss electoral support. | June 08 - JDBF Partners Retreat to discuss the way forward.  
*Advantage 10 months* |
JDBF RETREAT FOR WAY FORWARD
‘A BEST PRACTICE’

- Discussed lessons learned.
- Analysed partners priorities and limitations.
- Highlighted partners concerns.
- Debated strategy for future support.

- A CLEAR PICTURE EMERGED
  - WHERE WE STAND TOGETHER.
HOPE 2011

- Reform Agenda will be successful.

- Donors will engage in time realising risks of not engaging early.

- Multi pronged approach will be followed to strengthen democratic and electoral regime.

- The Government and EMB will become willing partners.
HOPE 2011

NIGERIA WILL HOLD CREDIBLE 2011 GENERAL ELECTIONS THAT ARE NATIONALY AND INTERNATIONALLY ACCEPTED
HOPE 2011

HOPE IS NEVER LOST
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