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The EU and the UN are natural partners. They are united by the core values laid out in the 1945 Charter of the UN, and the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Member States and the EC are the largest contributors to the UN system. A total of €1.3 billion was approved by the EC to be channelled to the UN in 2006.

2001 EC’s communication: "Building an effective partnership with the UN", and 2003 EC’s Communication: “EU-UN relations: The choice of multilateralism“.

To facilitate programmatic cooperation between the EC and the UN, an updated Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement (FAFA) was agreed in 2003.
Overall Policy Framework

Two Communications with key aims

- Strengthen policy dialogue
- Transparent, financially predictable, easy to monitor partnership
- Match with EU objectives and deliver concrete solutions to global challenges
- EU’s commitment to multilateralism as a central strand of EU external action
Six strategic partnerships signed
Possible idea of thematic partnerships focusing on policy development and key issues (e.g.) gender, governance and democracy, climate change, energy.
Trend towards more informal arrangements
EC UNDP Operational Guidelines on the Implementation of Electoral Assistance
The European Consensus - a genuine EU policy

- **Eradication of poverty**
  - In the context of

- **Sustainable development**
  - Including

- **Pursuit of MDGs**

  - Country level assistance, based on country programming and principle of partner country ownership of development strategies
  - Enhanced cooperation with the UN «where such cooperation provides added value»
Distribution des montants contractés de RELEX, DEV, EuropeAid et ECHO par des entités de l’ONU

Total amount contracted: €5.1B

Source: CRIS, OLAS, HOPE
Note: other includes: UNOPS, UNOD, UNO, UNITAR, UNIFEM, UNFPA, UNFCC, UNESCO, UNCTAD, UNAIS, OHCHR, OCHA, IOM, ILO, Habitat, ESCAP, ECLAC, PAHO, UNEP, UNIDO, UNOV, UNCHS
Financial contributions from the European Commission channelled through UNDP in million EUR [2003-2009]
EC contribution to UNDP non-core budget

Note: In million US dollars
An extended Partnership

The UNDP-EC partnership is in over 90 countries across all regions of the developing world

* The above map refers to year 2007 and does not include regional programmes
Overall value of EC-UNDP agreements signed in 2003-2009 regional breakdown

- € 705 / 29%
- € 673 / 28%
- € 525 / 22%
- € 382 / 16%
- € 382 / 16%
- € 22 / 1%
- € 104 / 4%
Overall thematic breakdown of EU contributions channelled through UNDP in million EUR [2003-2009]

- **Crisis Prevention and Recovery**: €981, 41%
- **Democratic Governance**: €748, 31%
- **MDTF for Iraq**: €490, 20%
- **Environment**: €143, 6%
- **Poverty Eradication**: €43, 2%

- **Total**: €2,224
GOVERNANCE PORTFOLIO SUB-DIVISION
IN 2003-2009 (IN MILLION €)

- € 569; 58%
- € 135 / 14%
- € 128 / 13%
- € 72; 7%
- € 47 / 5%
- € 17 / 2%
- € 11 / 1%
- € 1 / 0%
- € 3 / 0.5%
• Limited knowledge of one another (still...)
• EU basis anchored in internal economic issues vs UN(DP) is anchored in security and development
• Regional organisation with global aspirations vs THE world’s global body
• “Round table” vs “Square table” approach
• “Nouveau riche” vs “Old lord” (clash of personalities)
But...

Complementary

- Values
- Policy
- Membership
- Set up
A mixed picture that leans towards the bad…

- Poor reporting, slow, “tricky”, costly, heavy administrative procedures, no visibility, talks a lot but does not always walk the talk
- Does not always share the same priorities or agenda
But...

- Close to beneficiary governments
- Neutral partner with capacity to deliver, also in politically sensitive situations
- Comparative advantage, specific strengths and technical knowledge (see: strategic partnership agreements)
- Ability to pool and manage significant financial resources (e.g. multi-donor set ups), added value
- Long experience and mandate for donor coordination
A mixed picture that leans towards the bad...

- Bureaucratic, concerned with visibility, tendency to micro-management, high maintenance, cumbersome, no big picture approach
But...

- **Same values and common objectives** aid effectiveness, country-ownership, reliance on comparative advantages, transparency
- **Serious and reliable partner**
- **Financial means** (The EC + EU Member states account for around 50% of UN funding. EC’s EuropeAid Cooperation Office alone channels 10% of aid through the UN)
EU and UN - natural partners?
The EU/UN partnership is extremely important

EuropeAid alone channels 20% of aid through international organisations

EuropeAid channels 10% of aid through the UN

The Commission accounts for +/- 6% of UN funding

The Commission + Member States are major partners for the UN, accounting for +/- 50% of UN funding
The UN as seen by the EU
– one option among many

- The Commission tries to identify the most appropriate partners to achieve the desired result – need to justify the choice and explore alternatives.
- Budget/sector support (centralised management) and decentralised management
- Delegation to a public or private body
- Multilateral channels – international organisations such as UN, World Bank, regional development banks, etc.
- Other entities such as NGOs and other Non State Actors
The UN as seen by the EU – positive evaluation, with some caveats

- Confirmation of the value of the partnership – working together made more and bigger interventions possible, impacting directly and positively on beneficiaries
- Confirmation of the key facilitating role of the FAFA
- Commission needs to know more about UN to further capitalise on the partnership
- Commission needs to develop a more strategic approach to cooperation
What is the EU wants from the UN?

- Delivery
- Results
- Added value
- Accountability